

Amendment I to RFP 2014-MITA-01

01/12/2015

NOTE THE FOLLOWING AND ATTACHED ADDITIONS, DELETIONS AND/OR CHANGES TO THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NUMBER: 2014-MITA-01. THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSER'S RESPONSE AND MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AS DEFINED IN THE RFP.

THE PROPOSER MUST SIGN AND RETURN THIS AMENDMENT WITH THEIR PROPOSAL.

I. Section 5.2.4.2, page 39, change as follows:

5.2.4.2 Develop (or obtain from the AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent) the as-is CDM for important high level functions, and inputs and outputs of each of the business areas. Document the as-is DMS and data standards;

II. Section 5.2.4.5, page 40, change as follows:

5.2.4.5 Work with the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to establish IA goals and objectives for each business area and business process to create a to-be view;

III. Section 5.2.4.6, page 40, change as follows:

5.2.4.6 Work with the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to conduct a gap analysis to determine realistic IA target levels for the various business areas, summarize the development work necessary to reach those levels, and estimate the costs; assess what changes are cost-effective to consider;

IV. Section 5.2.5.2, page 40, change as follows:

5.2.5.2 Develop, with the support of the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent the as-is technical service models for important high level functions and messages of each of the business areas. Document the as-is technical service areas and classifications;

V. Section 5.2.5.5, page 40, change as follows:

5.2.5.5 Work with the State and the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to establish TA goals and objectives for each business area and business process to create a to-be view;

VI. Section 5.2.5.6, page 40, change as follows:

5.2.5.6 Work with the State and the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to conduct a gap analysis to determine realistic TA target levels for the various business areas, summarize the development work necessary to reach those levels, and estimate the costs; assess what changes are cost-effective to consider;

VII. Section 5.2.6.3, page 41, change as follows:

5.2.6.3 Work with the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to understand the MMIS architecture (business, information, and technical) and to what extent it meets each of the Seven Conditions and Standards

VIII. Section 5.2.6.7, page 41, change as follows:

5.2.6.7 Work with the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to establish goals and objectives for each business area as it relates to the Seven Conditions and Standards to create a to-be view;

IX. Section 5.2.6.8, page 41, change as follows:

5.2.6.8 Work with the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to conduct a gap analysis to determine Realistic target levels for each business area for each of the Seven Conditions and Standards and summarize the development work necessary to reach those levels. Estimate the costs versus benefits and determine what changes are cost-effective to consider;

X. Section 5.2.7.1, page 41, change as follows:

5.2.7.1 Work with the AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent to assess whether, how, when, and at what cost the MMIS could be modified to reach full maturity according to the maturity curves for MITA 3.0 and the Seven Conditions and Standards;

XI. Section 5.2.14.2, Monthly Status Reports, page 41, change as follows:

5.2.14.2 Monthly Status Reports

Throughout the project, the PROPOSER is required to produce regular monthly project status reports along with formal debriefing presentations of the highlights of the status reports.

These reports must include:

- A dashboard (whose format and content has been accepted by the AGENCY) that shows on a single page the overall status of the project;
- A summary of work completed during the previous month along with the PROPOSER's analysis of progress (tasks, deliverables, milestones, and work breakdown elements);
- A summary of work to be performed for the upcoming month (tasks, deliverables, milestones, and work breakdown elements), including any AGENCY, AGENCY vendor or fiscal agent staff who are needed;
- Analysis of critical issues including any schedule variance/slippage; and
- Risk tracking and assessment, with mitigation strategies.

XII. Section 5.6.3.5, page 59, change as follows:

5.6.3.5 Identify/make recommendations for MMIS to become more modular

XIII. Section 5.6.3 MITA 3.0 PROPOSER Procurement Responsibilities, page 59, add the following:

5.6.3.6 Track and follow-up on any action items identified during the meetings.

XIV. Attachment 9.7 Cost Proposal Template II, page 93, change as follows:

Enter the price of each deliverable.

Proposer:	
Authorized Signature:	Date:
Deliverables	Cost
State Self-Assessment (SS-A) Project Plan – Approach to SS-A	
SS-A Project Plan – WBS, Schedule, Contractor, fiscal agent, state and PROPOSER resources	
SS-A Project Plan – Other artifacts	
MITA, Seven Conditions and Standards and COO Governance Plan	
Monthly Status Reports	
MITA Training Plan and Delivery	
Medicaid Business Process SS-A – As-Is Assessment	
Medicaid Business Process SS-A – To-Be Assessment	
Medicaid Business Process MITA Roadmap	
Medicaid Business Architecture SS-A – As- Is Assessment	
Medicaid Business Architecture SS-A – To- Be Assessment	
Medicaid Information Architecture SS-A – As- Is Assessment	
Medicaid Information Architecture SS-A – To- Be Assessment	
Medicaid Technical Architecture SS-A – As- Is Assessment	
Medicaid Technical Architecture SS-A – To- Be Assessment	
Medicaid – Seven Conditions and Standards SS-A – As-Is Assessment	
Medicaid – Seven Conditions and Standards SS-A – To-Be Assessment	
Medicaid MITA Roadmap	
Screening of Ancillary Medicaid Systems –	
Ancillary Systems – Seven Conditions and Standards SS-A – As-Is Assessments	
Ancillary Systems – Seven Conditions and Standards SS-A – To-Be Assessments	
MITA Roadmap for Ancillary Systems – Seven Conditions and Standards SS-A – To-Be Assessment	
Alabama Medicaid Enterprise MITA Roadmap – Covers Alabama Medicaid Agency MMIS, Ancillary Systems, and Eligibility Systems	
State Medicaid Concept of Operations and Business Process Models	
State Medicaid Procurement Documentation – IAPD, PAPD, and RFP	
TOTAL FIRM AND FIXED PRICE	

I hereby acknowledge the receipt of Addendum 1 to RFP 2014-MITA-01.

Authorized Proposer Signature

Date

Proposer Organization